

**Recycling Improvement Fund 2022/23 Scoring Matrix**

Local Authority		Assessed By	
Application No.		Date:	

Assessment criteria	Weighting	Score	Total	Detailed Comments (on which to base recommendations to the Board and feedback to local authorities).
<b>Weightings reflect each criteria's importance within the RIF projects. The weighting values are used in the calculations, so should add up to 100%.</b>	100%	-	<b>0.0</b>	<b>This is your total score and represents your assessment of the overall value of the project</b>
<b>Evidence Led</b> - the project is based on the best industry and sectoral knowledge and recognises good practice and addresses a demonstrated gap or improvement need. The application will demonstrate how the impact will also be robustly monitored and evaluated over time against an agreed framework of impact / performance criteria.	27.5%			
<b>Impact &amp; Transformation</b> - the project is focussed on high carbon impacts through increasing the capture of priority materials such as food waste, garden waste, plastics and textiles and the adoption of new and low carbon technologies; it will also support significant shifts in performance locally, regionally or nationally in terms of recycling performance, waste prevention or reuse.	22.5%			
<b>Strategic &amp; Collaborative</b> - the project shows alignment with current and future policy developments and local, regional or national priorities. The project also considers any broader impacts. The project adopts a partnership approach in developing and delivering projects across key stakeholders and/or across multiple authorities - where opportunity allows.	20%			
<b>Feasibility</b> - the project is deliverable and well planned; it demonstrates due diligence, planning, strong project management and targeted communication of infrastructure changes; it is also practicable within the timeframes.	20%			
<b>Additionality</b> - the project is one which, for reasons of scale, timing or perceived risk, may not otherwise be progressed or would take significantly longer without grant funding.	5%			
<b>Acceptability</b> - the project fits within the regulatory and planning frameworks, is acceptable to both local decision makers and stakeholders and aligns with the scope of the fund.	5%			

Scoring	
e excellent	100
g good	75
a acceptable	50
p poor	25
n not acceptable	0

Overall Comment

RETURN ON INVESTMENT ASSESSMENT:
Principle: A <b>good choice of project</b> and solution to the council's service delivery;
Notes:
Principle: A <b>sound procurement approach</b> to achieving the most economically advantageous c
Notes:
Principle: That the <b>quantity of recycling/reuse</b> and carbon reduction can be <b>maximised</b> through the chosen service
Notes:
Principle: That the <b>cost of investment is reasonable and proportionate to the projected outcomes (recycling/reuse / cost</b>
Notes:
Principle: That the project demonstrates ability to <b>produce sustained results</b> .
Notes:
<b>RoIA Summary Comments:</b>